

The jury reviews the submitted abstracts and the posters exhibited during the Congress according to the following criteria:

1. Originality and aim: The aim of the work should be clear. Valid innovative work is valued over more routine methods. New findings are valued over a confirmation of old findings, unless controversial.
2. Design of a study or service: The study or service should be described in sufficient detail to allow understanding of its purpose and general structure. The objectives and methods should be clearly defined.
3. Results: Results or accomplishments should be stated concisely and should relate to the original aim and objectives. Final results and accomplishments are rated more highly than interim reports.
4. Conclusions: Conclusions should address the aim and objectives and should follow logically from the results. The utility of the data and their potential role for pharmacy practice should be emphasised.
5. Poster: The poster should be in concordance with the text in the abstract. Qualities like readability on a distance, logic layout, balance between illustrations and text, are elements in the evaluation process.